External Review Comments Received and Responses for Draft New \& Revised Standard Details of February 29, 2024

| No. | Standard Detail Alpha-Numeric | Comment | By | Date | Response by <br> Multi-departmental Interdisciplinary Team Germane Members | Means |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ST-1 | For ST-1, note 15a: "A. THE PARKWAY SHALL BE THAT SPACE BETWEEN THE FACE OF THE STREET CURB AND THE PROPERTY LINE. THIS PARKWAY SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM SLOPE OF ONE-QUARTER OF AN INCH PER ONE FOOT AND A MAXIMUM SLOPE OF ONE-HALF OF AN INCH PER ONE FOOT TOWARD THE STREET" <br> I know this is in the City ordinances, but if this parkway area requires a sidewalk it's standard practice to form at less than maximum cross-slope to ensure ADA compliance. If one was to follow this to a T you'd have a hard time ensuring ADA compliance of sidewalks. I'd suggest revising the minimum slope to $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ per foot minimum. | Travis T. Whitis, P.E. Yalgo Engineering, LLC (254) 258-4616 | 2/29/2024 | Added note, "This excludes components of ADA pedestrian access routes \& shared use paths, drive approaches, and other approved identified infrastructure within the parkway." | email followed by later linking on City website Standard Details Listing |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

